

(SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA)

(a) and (b) The Road length between Raichur and Singnodi village is about 6 miles 4 furlongs and it has been completed as a Famine Relief Work.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭೀಮಣ್ಣ.—ಇದನ್ನು ಪಕ್ಕಾರಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಲು ವಿರ್ಪಾದು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಪರಾರೋಚಿಸು ತ್ತೇವೆ.

Equations of Posts of Non-Gazetted Officials.

Q.—2665. Sri BASAN GOWDA (Lingsugur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the reasons for not finalising so far the equation of posts in respect of the non-gazetted officials coming from Bombay and Hyderabad Karnatak, although the same has been done in the case of Gazetted officers and that new scales of pay have been paid to the N.G.Os. of old Mysore ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

These are pending scrutiny by the Government of India Officers. Equations in respect of Gazetted posts have only been scrutinised by the Government of India Officers, whose recommendations are awaited. Scrutiny of Non-Gazetted equations will be taken up shortly.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಸದ್ಯದಲ್ಲೇ N.G.O. ಗಳ equation ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆಷ್ಟು ಅವಧಿಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

*Sri B. D. JATTI.—Before 1st January 1959.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether the decisions or recommendations of the Government of India are binding on the State Government ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The report submitted to the Government will be finally decided by the Cabinet and it will be binding.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Are the Government of India officers appointed by the Government of India to go into this question or is it that the State

Government is seeking their advice to be considered by the Cabinet ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—At the request of the Mysore Government, the Government of India has appointed their officers and their advice will be considered by the Cabinet.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್.—ಎಫ್.ಬಿ.ಒ.ಗಳ ಸಮೀಕ್ಷಣದ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯನ್ನು ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ 1958ರ ಹೊತ್ತಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹಿಂದೆ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಮತ್ತೇನೂ ಹೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈ ಜನಪರಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಜನಪರಿ ಒಂದನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಮುಗಿಯುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Sir, it is stated in the answer that 'scrutiny of non-gazetted equations will be taken up shortly'; may I understand that this Government will take up this scrutiny after the Central Government will finish this scrutiny? Or is there any ambiguity?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is no ambiguity. There are two types of committees which are appointed. One committee for the examination of the claims of gazetted officers and another to go into the claims of the non-gazetted officers. We have got the report regarding the gazetted officers. We have also got the report regarding non-gazetted officers about 8 days back. Both are under scrutiny and every thing will be over by the end of December.

9.30 A.M.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—The question is with regard to non-gazetted officers. It is replied that these are pending scrutiny by the Government of India Officers. . . .

Sri B. D. JATTI.—At the time the reply was sent the question was pending with the Government of India. About a week back the report has been received in respect of non-gazetted officers also. This will also be finished before the end of December.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ನಾನ್-ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಲಿ ಹೊಸ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಾಗಲಿ ಅನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ 75 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಪಡೆಯುವ ನಾನ್-ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಅಫೀಸರುಗಳ ಕೈಕೆಳಗೆ 300 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ದವರು ಇರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಅದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂಥಾದ್ದೇನಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಹೇಳಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ 75 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕೈಕೆಳಗೆ ಇತರ ಭಾಗಗಳಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ 300 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಸಂಬಳದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬೇರೆ, ಕೇಡರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬೇರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸಂಬಳ ಬೇರೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಸಹ ಬೇರೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ? ಕಡಮೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಬರುವವರ ಕೈಕೆಳಗೆ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಸಂಬಳ ಬರುವ ಹೊಸ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವವರು ಇರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ಸ್ ರೀ-ಆರ್ಗನೈಜೇಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಸ್ಟೇಟಿನೊಳಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಂಬಳ ವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೋ ಅಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಪೈಕಿಟ್ಟ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಡಮೆ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಂಬಳದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೂ ನಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲ. ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇನ್ನೇನಾದರೂ ವಿಷಯ ಬಂದರೆ ಅವನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—May I know the reasons for referring the matter to the Government of India Officers ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In order to get an independent opinion rather than putting officers from one section of the new Mysore State.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Is it the view of the Government that officers of the Mysore Government are partial ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is not like that. It is likely that later on friends like Sri M. Ramappa may raise objection. In order to avoid that complication we have done like that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸಂಬಳ ಸಾರಿಗೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅತ್ಯಲ್ಪ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ವಿಧಾನಸೌಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಯದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಯದೆ ಇರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ನನ್ನ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸ ಬಹಳ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ನಡೆದಿದೆ.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—In view of the admission of the fact that the recommendations are likely to be impartial, may I take that the Cabinet is likely to accept the recommendations ?

L.A.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Cabinet will consider the recommendations. If they find they are correct, the Cabinet will accept them. If they find there should be some changes, they will do them.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that some of the integrated areas increased the salaries of officers purposely just on the eve of integration so that they might get advantage over the people that are here ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That is not correct because the Government of India issued some circulars that previous to the formation of new States that they should not increase pay scales. If there are individual cases and if they are due for increments they had to be given those increments. It cannot be avoided.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—May I know whether there are such cases and what has the Government of Mysore done to rectify all those defects, If there are any ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—If details are required, notice may be given. But, generally no State has done like that.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not a fact that the erstwhile States of Coorg and Hyderabad increased the pay scales just two or three months prior to the integration knowing full well that those areas would merge with the new States ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Regarding those cases the Government will look into them.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎ. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನೂತನ ಸುನ್ಮಾನವಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ದಿವಸ ವಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಇತರ ಭಾಗದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಸಂಬಳದ ಸ್ಕೇಲುಗಳನ್ನು enhance ಮಾಡಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ dump ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—If there are concrete instances those cases will also be examined.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎ. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಮತ್ತು ಧರ್ಮವನ್ನು ಪರಿಪಾಲನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗಿ, ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂಡ ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿ, ಈ ವಿಷಯಗಳೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಸರಿಪಡಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is not necessary.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎ. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನ್ಯಾಯವನ್ನು ದೊರಕಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯ ಎಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—No, Sir.

Sri B. SHAMSUNDER.—Sir, in fact the salaries of the employees of the Hyderabad State were far higher. . .

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—You cannot make a statement.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Will the Hon'ble the Chief Minister take this House into confidence by making a considered statement on the subject ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I will consider.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—May I know whether the Hon'ble the Chief Minister will be able to announce to this House the result of his consideration and if so, on what date ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—This matter is not finally decided. After decision is taken I will inform the House.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Since the House is greatly perturbed on this issue, will the Hon'ble the Chief Minister place on the Table of the House a considered statement on the subject ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The case is not finally decided. After it is decided, I will place it before the House.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—After decision is taken, this House will not have an opportunity to advise the Government on the subject. If at all it has to be done, it must be before final decision is taken in consultation with the Government of India. It is for that reason that I am making a suggestion that a statement be made on the subject before the House ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—If I am informed on what point exactly the statement is necessary, I will consider it.

Sri A. S. PATIL.—Is it not a fact that there are many instances in which undue promotions were given just to gain advantage ?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—On the other hand the erstwhile Mysore State officers were buried alive.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The cases pointed out by Sri A. S. Patil are not true. If there are any such cases, they will also be looked into.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—In view of the doubts that are entertained by

Hon'ble Members both from old Mysore and outside, does the Government think it necessary to make a considered statement before the House ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I have already replied. If the points on which I have to make a statement are made clear, I will consider it and make.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The points are, whether there are any instances where promotion has been given or increment has been granted on the eve of integration with an ulterior motive.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is no difficulty about placing it before the House.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I want a definite answer when the Government will be able to place such a statement before the House so that all the members, whether they belong to this area or that area, may be satisfied. I am not making allegations against any area. A good deal of doubt is being raised on this subject and so a statement has to be made in order to allay those doubts and fears.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It will require some time. As soon as we receive reports from the Government of India, it will require some time to scrutinise where such injustice is done if at all it has been done.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I want a definite answer whether they are prepared to take this House into their confidence.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—As soon as the information is available with the Government, it will be placed before the House.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I want to know whether it will take a week or a fortnight or a month.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is very difficult to say just now.

Sri A. S. PATIL.—Will the Government *suo motu* go into the question of undue promotions given by Old Mysore on the eve of integration ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There are no instances of undue promotions in Old Mysore State or other areas. If there are some instances, I have said they would be examined.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Are Government aware that several hundreds of vacancies in Old Mysore were not filled up on the eve of integration just to have a uniform pattern of civil service in the State?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is a fact that some vacancies were not filled up.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The question time is over.

MYSORE NON-AGRICULTURAL LOANS BILL, 1958.

Introduction.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I beg to introduce the Mysore Non-agricultural Loans Bill, 1958.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The bill is introduced.

REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PROCEDURE.

Motion to consider. (contd.)

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—Mr Speaker, Sir, the fundamental issue that was raised before the House yesterday was that all the Rules pertaining to the legislatures in India have something to do with the pre-Constitution days. Therefore, with the coming into force of the new Constitution, there is a necessity to contemplate incorporating in our Rules the word 'contempt' as distinct and different from the word 'privilege'. That is necessary because our Constitution provides that wherever a lacuna appears we must look to the House of Commons. Article 194 (3) of the Constitution reads:

"In other respects, the powers, privileges and immunities of a House of the Legislature of a State, and of the members and the committees of a House of such Legislature, shall be such as may from time to time be defined by

the Legislature by law, and until so defined, shall be those of the House of Commons of the Parliament of the United Kingdom, and of its members and committees, at the commencement of this Constitution."

As I have shown, there is a lacuna. The Special Committee that has gone into this matter for some reason or the other was not able to focuss attention on what is called 'contempt.' 'Privileges' are only with regard to certain rights enjoyed by this House. I want to know whether the Hon'ble Minister Sri Kadidal Manjappa is himself not committing a contempt now. (*The Hon'ble Minister was speaking to another member*).

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—I am attentive.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—It is not merely a question of your being attentive. Are you not by your conduct doing a certain act which is 'contempt'? What I want to emphasise is that contempt is different from privilege. Privilege is something which is dormant and latent. Breach of privilege takes place by somebody violating certain acts. The House of Commons has chosen to make a distinction between what is called 'privilege' and 'contempt.' The Constitution itself lays down that whenever there is a lacuna we must follow the House of Commons. Therefore, in the absence of a clear definition of the word 'contempt' in our Rules as required by the Constitution, I want you to clearly define it and distinguish it from 'privilege'.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Can the Hon'ble Member give me at least a few hypothetical cases of 'contempt'?

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—How I wish the Hon'ble Minister had a copy of the May's Parliamentary Practice?

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY (Bangalore South).—I think you concluded that point yesterday. You are now reopening it and trying to argue.